HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

January 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

January 1996

CONTENTS

Section 1	Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2	Facility Description	2-1
Section 3	Compliance Status	3-1
Section 4	Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5	Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6	Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

List of Tables

Table

- Summary Program Information for Leavenworth NFH Spring Chinook
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: Leavenworth NFH Spring Chinook
- 3 Remedial Actions Required at Leavenworth NFH Spring Chinook
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Leavenworth NFH Spring Chinook
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: Leavenworth NFH Spring Chinook

6 Annual Operating Expenses - Leavenworth NFH

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook program. The hatchery is located along Icicle Creek, a tributary of the Wenatchee River approximately 30 miles above the WenatcheeÕs confluence with the Columbia River. The hatchery is about 4 miles northeast of Leavenworth, Washington. Entiat NFH and Withrop NFH are operated as satellite facilities. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of summer steelhead and spring chinook.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Results

The Leavenworth facility includes two ponds for adult holding, 45 concrete raceways, 37 Foster Lucas rearing ponds, 108 starter tanks, and incubation facilities. The hatchery was originally authorized by the Grand Coulee Fish Maintenance Project in 1937 and re-authorized by the Mitchell Act in 1938. It began operations in 1942. Leavenworth is one of three mid-Columbia hatcheries constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation as mitigation for the Grand Coulee Dam - Columbia Basin Project.

The Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting egg take and smolt-to-adult goals. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the rearing temperature criteria, water quality monitoring, alarm, predator control, and release facility requirements, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. In the compliance area for fish health policy, the hatchery did have foot baths in the incubation areas. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Plan.

The specific areas in which the Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Develop genetics M&E plan and have it reviewed by a qualified geneticist
- Develop groundwater supply and/or temperature control to meet IHOT temperature criteria
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Follow IHOT requirements for daily checking of flow alarms

- Install foot baths in the incubation areas
- Install security alarms
- Monitor TGP and record
- Provide cover and fencing for adult holding and raceways (48,700 sf)
- Provide intake alarm
- Provide new release facilities and redesign discharge channel and fishway
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Leavenworth National Fish Hatchery

Stock/Species: Summer Steelhead

Spring Chinook

Operating Agency: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Funding Agency: U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Location: The hatchery is located along Icicle Creek, a tributary of the Wenatchee

River approximately 30 miles above the WenatcheeOs confluence with

the Columbia River. The hatchery is about 4 miles northeast of

Leavenworth, Washington. Entiat NFH and Winthrop NFH are

operated as satellite facilities.

Address: 12790 Fish Hatchery Road

Leavenworth, WA 98826

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Dan Davies Phone: (509) 548-7641

Fax: (509) 548-6263

Purpose: The hatchery was originally authorized by the Grand Coulee Fish

Maintenance Project in 1937 and re-authorized by the Mitchell Act in

1938. It began operations in 1942. Leavenworth is one of three mid-

Columbia hatcheries constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation as

mitigation for the Grand Coulee Dam - Columbia Basin Project.

The goal of the hatchery is to produce spring chinook and summer steelhead to help compensate for fish losses in the Columbia River Basin caused by the Grand Coulee Dam.

Production Goal: Summer Steelhead

Produce 100,000 smolts for on-station release

Spring Chinook

Produce 1.6 million smolts for on-station release

Water Supply: Water rights total 25,551 gpm from wells, Icicle Creek, and Snow and

Nada lakes. Average flow available to the hatchery is 18,170 gpm.

There is insufficient water to operate all rearing facilities. Water from

Snow and Nada lakes is used to supplement Icicle Creek during low

flow periods.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 2 concrete brood ponds - 7,800 cf each

Incubation: 600 individual bucket incubators

72 deep troughs with trays

Early Rearing: 108 fiberglass starter tanks - 90 cf each

Raceways: 45 raceways - 1,600 cf each

30 Foster Lucas raceways - 3,876 cf each

7 Foster Lucas raceways - 13,572 cf each

Rearing Ponds: None

Satellite Facilities: Entiat and Winthrop NFH

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

- Section 1 Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure
 Information (PMs General 1-2)
- Section 2 Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Leavenworth NFH was conducted on January 27, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.

- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

Component		Location	n of Adult Holding, Sp.	awning, Incubation, an	nd Rearing	
	Leavenworth					
	NFH					
Adult Collection	4					
Adult Holding	4					
Spawning	4					
Fertilization	4					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed	4					
eyed-to-hatch	4					
Rearing						
fry	4					
fingerlings	4					
smolts	4					
Acclimation/release	4					

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			ı	ı	1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan Grande Coulee Fish	
						Maintenance Project	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			IHOT Operations Plan and Fish Culture	
	operational plan?					Manual for the Leavenworth NFH	
	Is it understood by staff?		4			Discussion	
	Is it being followed?		4			Discussion	
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
	Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		4			Included in Fish Culture Manual for the Leavenworth NFH	
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and		4			Review of records	
	hatchery						
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out	
	established goal					of last 5 years	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 4 out	Improve adult returns
						of last 5 years	
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out	
	established goal					of last 5 years	
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established		4			Review of records; in compliance 4 out	
	goal					of last 4 years	
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established		4			Review of records; in compliance 4 out	
	goal					of last 4 years	
#4g	Production as compared with established goal		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out	
						of last 5 years	
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with				4	Review of records; in compliance 1 out	Improve adult returns
	established goal					of last 3 years	
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	to meet basinwide needs						

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#5a	Temperature	IN/A	168	•	NO		
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for spawning?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for incubation?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for rearing?				4	Existing well yield inadequate; outside criteria for minimum and maximum.	Develop groundwater supply and/or temperature control to meet IHOT
#5b	Dissolved gases					New well was dry	temperature criteria
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			4		No data	Monitor TGP and record
#5c	Chemistry						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)			4		No data	Run analysis
	Carbon Dioxide			4		No data	Run analysis
	Chlorine			4		No data	Run analysis
	pH			4		No data	Run analysis
	Copper			4		No data	Run analysis
	Hydrogen Sulfide			4		No data	Run analysis
	Iron			4		No data	Run analysis

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Zinc			4		No data	Run analysis
#5d	Turbidity						
	Does your turbidity meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5e	Alkalinity and hardness						
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5f	Nitrite						
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5g	Contaminants						
	Aldrin			4		No data	
	Endrin			4		No data	Run analysis
	Dieldrin			4		No data	Run analysis
	Heptachlor			4		No data	Run analysis
	Chlordane			4		No data	Run analysis
	Methoxychlor			4		No data	Run analysis
	Lindane			4		No data	Run analysis
	Malathion			4		No data	Run analysis
	Guthion			4		No data	Run analysis
#5h	Pathogens						
	Wiles and the state of the stat						
	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
	Adult holding				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
	Incubation		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Early rearing		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
	Others				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#6	Alarm Systems				- 1,0		
	Do the following areas have alarms?						
	Intake Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Incubation facilities Quarantine areas and facilities Water treatment systems Security Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite residences?	4 4	4 4 4		4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion No quarantine area No water treatment systems Inspection of facilities/Discussion Discussion	Provide intake alarm Install security alarms
	Are water flow alarms checked daily? Are all other alarms checked weekly?		4		4	Checked approximately weekly Discussion	Follow IHOT requirements for daily checking of flow alarms
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and maintenance requirements?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are telephone pagers used?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure Compliance Status		Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for			
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#8	Incubation facilities	17/11	Tes	•	110		
	Type 1: Incubation buckets Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: <u>Deep tanks</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
#9	Rearing facilities Type 1: Raceways (8x80) Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: Foster Lucas (small) Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?				4	Foster Lucas ponds are scheduled to be replaced with 14, 100Õx10Õx4Õ raceways in 1997	None
	Type 3: Foster Lucas (large) Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?				4	See above	See above

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Type 4: Adult holding ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Do you have an adequate number of units for the						
overall program?						
Type 5: <u>Nursery Tanks</u>		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Do you have an adequate number of units for the						
overall program?						

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#10	Screening facilities						
	Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are rearing containers double screened for fish that	4				Fish released on-site	
	should not be released to adjacent water?						
#11	Predator control facilities						
	Are your predation control facilities effective?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide cover and fencing for adult
							holding ponds and raceways (48,700 sf)

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS		Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
#12	Food storage facilities and quality control			-			
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	(dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture)						
	follow food manufacturerÕs recommendations?						
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee						
	production procedures and monitor:						
	Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients		4			Discussion	
	meet specifications?						
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or		4			Discussion	
	other additives?						
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food		4			Discussion	
	product to ensure that feed specifications have been						
	met?						
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the						
	following criteria?						

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Moist pellets should not exceed 10°F at point of		4			Discussion	
delivery.						
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		4			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		4			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		4			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	4				Not used	

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#13	Release facilities						
	Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide new release facilities and
	subjected to adverse conditions?						redesign discharge channel and fishways
#14	Pollution abatement facilities						
	Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?						
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		4			Discussion	
#15	Transportation facilities						
	Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT	4				Released on-station	
	performance measures for transportation practices?						

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#16	Broodstock selection practices	IVA	Tes	•	110		
	Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
#17	Spawning practices Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)						
#18	Incubation practices						
	Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?		4			Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and Fish Culture Manual	Develop specific incubation standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
	Are incubation practices written?		4			See above	
	Incubation Type 1: <u>Incubation buckets</u> (see PM #8) Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?	4				Not used for this program	

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Incubation Type 2: Troughs with trays (see PM #8)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/4	3 7			Non-Compliance	Compliance
#10	Descripe appositions	N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	Rearing practices						
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery		4			Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for
	operations plan?					and Fish Culture Manual	IHOT Operations Plan
	Are rearing practices written?		4			Review Hatchery Operations Plan	
	Rearing Unit Type 1: 8x80 (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 2: Foster Lucas -small						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?				4	To be replaced with standard raceways in	None
						1997	
	Rearing Unit Type 3: Foster Lucas -large						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	4				Not in use	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	4				Not in use	
	Rearing Unit Type 4: <u>Adult holding ponds</u>						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion
	Rearing Unit Type 5: <u>Nursery tanks</u>					
	(see PM #9)					
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion
#20	Smolt quality					
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Discussion

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#21	Fish health management practices	IVA	Tes	•	110		
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being		4	•		Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #26)						
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #27)						
	conducted: (FII2 II2)						
	Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h) and are the				4		See PM #28
	sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)						
	santation procedures being followed: (1 M #20)						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	entena. (114 #3a 3g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
(PM #31)						

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			1	ı	1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a	Does hatchery performance meet requirements						
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
#22a1	Percent smoltification						
	Do you measure percent smoltification?				4	Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor
	Do you have a smoltification goal				4	Discussion	See above
	Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4		Discussion	See above
#22a2	Rearing density (prior to release)						
	Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19 (for Type 2)
	release?						
#22a3	Disease condition (at release)						
	Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	release?						
#22a4	Number (at release)						
	Did you meet the release number goal?] 	4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a5	Size at release						
	Did you meet the size goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Dates of release						
Did you meet the release date goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
Location of release						
Did you release the fish at the specified location?	·	4			Review of records/Discussion	
Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
subbasin?						
Are the fish reared in the subbasin?	l	4			Discussion	
Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
	Did you meet the release date goal? Location of release Did you release the fish at the specified location? Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin? Are the fish reared in the subbasin? Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	Dates of release Did you meet the release date goal? Location of release Did you release the fish at the specified location? Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin? Are the fish reared in the subbasin? Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	Did you meet the release date goal? Location of release Did you release the fish at the specified location? Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin? Are the fish reared in the subbasin? Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin? 4	Did you meet the release date goal? Location of release Did you release the fish at the specified location? Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin? Are the fish reared in the subbasin? Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin? 4 Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	Did you meet the release date goal? Location of release Did you release the fish at the specified location? Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin? Are the fish reared in the subbasin? Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin? 4 Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	Dates of release Did you meet the release date goal? Location of release Did you release the fish at the specified location? Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin? Are the fish reared in the subbasin? Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	C	Complian	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	17/11	Tes	•	110		
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?	4				No off-station transportation	
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of	4				See above	
	200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?						
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?	4				See above	
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?	4				See above	
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes					See above	
600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30					See above	
minutes						
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes	4				See above	
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling	4				See above	
fish eggs or cultural water?						
insir eggs of cultural water:						
Do the fish transport truck/sheeping and tank/unit receive	4				See above	
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive	4				See above	
an inspection and service prior to the release season?						
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting	4				See above	
up and leaving for the day?						
				l		

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

Non-Compliance Compliance on transportation
n transportation
on transportation
on transportation

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Do fish releasing procedures include the following					See above	
criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.	4				See above	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation	4				See above	
tank and the target water body should not exceed	4				See above	
10°F.						
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of	4				See above	
ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.						

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#24	Evaluation practices						
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		4			Discussion	
	Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		4			Discussion	
	Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			1		1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#25	Training practices						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	staff?						
	Does each staff member have a personal training		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed						
	annually?						
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	details between other hatcheries and agencies?						
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	training of staff?						
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
			'				

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#26	Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being	IV/A	165	•	110		
	conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as						
	described below?						
	Conduct visit at least monthly		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#27	Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below?						

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of		4			Review of records/Discussion
reportable viral pathogens.					
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		4			Review of records/Discussion
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		4			Review of records/Discussion

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#28	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation						
	procedures?						
	Are there any sources of pathogen-free water,		4			Discussion	
	especially for incubation and early rearing?						
	Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and						
	being followed as described below?						
	Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install foot baths in the incubation areas
	incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit?						
	Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use						
	elsewhere in the hatchery?						
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?						

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with					
any other fish lots or at any other location?					
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for
					 		Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#29	Are water quality parameters being followed?						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Go to PM #21						
#30	Are incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
	Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
	criteria? (PM #19)						
	Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
#31	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	ice Statu	1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan Grand Coulee Fish	
						Maintenance Project	
	Go to subbasin plan PM #1						
#33	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			Review IHOT Operations Plan and Fish	
	operational plan?					Culture Manual for the Leavenworth	
						NFH	
	Go to operational plan PM #2						
#34	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?		4			M&E program described in Fish Culture	
						Manual for the Leavenworth NFH	
	Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

 Table 2 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	C	Complian	ce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and subbasin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Stock protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#36	Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?						
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
	Rearing density (PM #22a2) Disease condition (PM #22a3)		4			Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Size at release (PM #22a5)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Date of release (PM #22a6)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the		4			Discussion	
	subbasin? See PM #22b						
#38	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program? See PM #22c		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	PM # Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		DI/A	T 7			Non-Compliance	Compliance
#39	For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	been developed?						
#39a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:	4				See above	
#39b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?					See above	
#39c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	4				See above	
	For a captive broodstock program:						
#39d	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	4				See above	
#39e	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				See above	
#39f	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#40	For a new program, was the donor selection outline						
	followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
#40a	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				See above	
#40b	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	4				See above	
#40c	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
				I -	T	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#41	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection						
	procedures followed?						
#41a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?		4			Review broodstock collection plan	
	Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:						
#41b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?		4			Discussion	
#41c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?		4			Discussion	
#41d	Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Description of Performance Measure Compliance Status		1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compnance	Compilance
#42	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female						
	ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
#42a	Are the spawning protocols written?		4			Review of spawning protocols	
#42b	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		4			Review of records	
#42c	Was the appropriate number of spawners used?		4			Discussion	
#42d	Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?		4			Discussion	
#42e	Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?		4			Discussion	
#42f	Were the fertilization protocols followed?		4			Discussion	
#42g	If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
					1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#43	Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	in place?						
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?				4	None in place	Develop genetics M&E plan and have it reviewed by a qualified geneticist
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				4	Discussion	See above
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?				4	Discussion	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly
	definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human		
control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Improve adult returns		4c, 4h
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or		
procedures		
Follow IHOT requirements for daily checking of flow alarms		6
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT	 !	18
Operations Plan		
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Install foot baths in the incubation areas		28
Develop genetics M&E plan and have it reviewed by a qualified		43
geneticist	#	
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage		
or interval	0 0 0 0 0	
Monitor TGP and record		5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity,		5c-5g
hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Provide intake alarm	\$10,000	6
Install security alarms	\$10,000	6
Provide cover and fencing for adult holding and raceways (48,700 sf)	\$100,000	:
Provide new release facilities and redesign discharge channel and	\$250,000	13
fishway	to	
	\$500,000	
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital		
expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Develop groundwater supply and/or temperature control to meet IHOT		5a
temperature criteria		

Hatchery Contribution to

Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

Year	Fisheries	Spawning Grounds ¹	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	
1981					
1982					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1983					
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987	3,011	14	3,248	6,273	0.27
1988	5,819	100	5,041	10,960	0.41
1989	2,360	0	2,449	4,809	0.19
1990					
1991					
1992					

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

Hatchery	1993	1994	1995
1. Leavenworth NFH	\$629,647	\$749,884	\$1,847,302
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$629,647	\$749,884	\$1,847,302

The total expenditures for the Leavenworth NFH are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a and 6b).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Leavenworth NFH

Program	1993	1994	1995
Spring Chinook	\$629,647	\$749,884	\$1,847,302
2. Summer Steelhead	\$69,960	\$102,257	\$97,226
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$699,608	\$852,142	\$1,944,528

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Leavenworth NFH - Spring Chinook

Expenditure Occurring at Leavenworth NFH

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$439,140	\$442,626	\$504,302
Operational Costs	\$254,012	\$347,685	\$347,997
Capital Costs	\$6,456	\$61,831	\$768,182
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$699,608	\$852,142	\$1,944,528
Source of Funds			
USBR	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	79,700	105,552	112,363
Total Production (lb)	88,789	120,140	117,732
Program as Percent of Total	90%	88%	95%
Program Costs	\$629,647	\$749,884	\$1,847,302

\$748,000 for construction of sand settling basin in 1995.

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Leavenworth NFH by Program

Spring Chinook

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$439,140	\$442,626	\$504,302
Operational Costs	\$254,012	\$347,685	\$347,997
Capital Costs	\$6,456	\$61,831	\$768,182
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$699,608	\$852,142	\$1,944,528
Source of Funds			
USBR	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	79,700	105,552	112,363
Total Production (lb)	88,789	120,140	117,732
Program as Percent of Total	90%	88%	95%
Program Costs	\$629,647	\$749,884	\$1,847,302

\$748,000 for construction of sand settling basin in 1995.

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Leavenworth NFH by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$439,140	\$442,626	\$504,302
Operational Costs	\$254,012	\$347,685	\$347,997
Capital Costs	\$6,456	\$61,831	\$768,182
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$699,608	\$852,142	\$1,944,528
Source of Funds			
USBR	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	8,712	14,588	5,369
Total Production (lb)	88,789	120,140	117,732
Program as Percent of Total	10	12%	5%
Program Costs	\$69,960	\$102,257	\$97,226

\$748,000 for construction of sand settling basin in 1995.

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.